



Graduate Program in Psychology

PhD Departmental Oral: Report of the Chair

Report of the Chair of the Examining Committee:

(The Chair of the Examining Committee is responsible for ensuring that this form, when completed, is returned to the Graduate Office.)

Student:

Date:

1. Does the Examining Committee regard the thesis as ready for the formal Faculty ("Senate") Oral Examination and does it so recommend? (Check one:)

Yes: as it stands

Yes: with very minor corrections (typographical, etc.)

Yes: but only after alterations needing the approval of the Supervisor and/or Professor

to be completed on or before

No: must be re-examined after major revisions to be completed on or before

No: the thesis is quite unacceptable.

2. Does the Examining Committee regard the candidate as ready for the formal Faculty ("Senate") Oral Examination on the basis of the thesis defense:

YES: _____

NO: _____

Further comments: _____

3. At least one of the two departmental members of the Senate Ph.D. Oral Defence examining committee must not have been a member of the student's advisory committee. Please nominate a rank-ordered set of external examiners and an Extra-Departmental Examiner. They are:

Internal Examiners

(Normally, one of the advisory committee members and one of the new psychology examiners.)

Nominations for External Examiner

Name and University. The advisor provides complete contact information once both the External & the University Examiners are contacted and have agreed to serve.

1. _____

1. _____

2. _____

2. _____

3. _____, alt.

3. _____

Nomination for University Examiner-----> (Name and Department)

1. _____

2. _____

Signature of the Chair of the Examining Committee-->

Prof.